

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,430	03/01/2004	Thomas R. Magnuson	34743/0004	5397	
30983 75	590 03/15/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCDONOUGH, HOLLAND & ALLEN			ALIE, GH	ALIE, GHASSEM	
555 CAPITOL	MALL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
9TH FLOOR SACRAMENTO, CA 95814				3724	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,430	MAGNUSON ET AL.	MAGNUSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ghassem Alie	3724		

before the riling of an Appeal brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ghassem Alie	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af- dice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See the next page. (See 37 CFR 1.116 ar		jected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>		ill be eptered and an e	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	Cey				
Claim(s) objected to:	Allan	N. Shoap			
Claim(s) rejected: 41-55. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Supervisory	Patent Examiner			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		up 3700	at he entered		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ied.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)			

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Applicant's amendment after final failed on 03/03/06 raises new issues that require further consideration and search. For example, independent claim 41, now recites, "a motor saw having a braking mechanism operatively attached to a brake release" which raises new issues that require further consideration and search. In addition, newly submitted Figs. 6 and 7 and their corresponding descriptions in the specification enter new matter. It should be noted that the original specification and the drawings have not disclose the specific chain saw, the location of the brake release handle 10, and the method of mounting the brake release handle to the chain saw as shown in Figs. 6 and 7.